CMSC 28100

Introduction to Complexity Theory

Spring 2025 Instructor: William Hoza



Communication Complexity

Communication complexity

• Goal: Compute f(x, y) using as little communication as possible



Alice holds *x*

Bob holds y

Communication complexity of equality

• $EQ_n: \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \to \{0, 1\}$

$$EQ_n(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

Theorem: Every deterministic communication protocol that computes EQ_n has cost at least n + 1

Randomized communication complexity



Alice holds *x*

Randomized communication complexity of EQ_n

- Let $\delta>0$ be any constant

Theorem: For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a randomized communication protocol with cost $O(\log n)$ that computes EQ_n with error probability δ

• Randomized protocols are exponentially better than deterministic protocols!

• Proof: Next five slides

Randomized protocol for EQ_n

- Think of $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$ as numbers $x, y \in \{0, 1, ..., 2^n 1\}$
- Let $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq p_3 \leq \cdots$ be the sequence of all prime numbers
- Protocol:
 - 1. Alice picks $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n/\delta\}$ uniformly at random (WLOG, n/δ is a power of two)
 - 2. Alice sends *i* and $x \mod p_i$
 - 3. Bob sends a bit indicating whether $x \mod p_i = y \mod p_i$
 - 4. If so, they accept, otherwise, they reject

Analysis of the protocol: Correctness

- If x = y, then $\Pr[\operatorname{accept}] = \Pr[x \equiv y \mod p_i] = 1$
- If $x \neq y$, then $\Pr[\operatorname{accept}] = \Pr[x \equiv y \mod p_i] = \Pr[p_i \operatorname{divides} |x y|]$
- Let BAD be the set of prime numbers that divide |x y|

•
$$2^{|\text{BAD}|} \le \prod_{p \in \text{BAD}} p \le |x - y| < 2^n$$

•
$$\Pr[\operatorname{accept}] = \Pr[p_i \in BAD] \le \frac{|BAD|}{n/\delta} < \frac{n}{n/\delta} = \delta \checkmark$$

Protocol:

- 1. Pick $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n/\delta\}$ u.a.r.
- 2. Send *i* and $x \mod p_i$
- 3. Check whether $x \equiv y \mod p_i$

8

Analysis of the protocol: Efficiency

- Sending *i* costs O(log n) bits of communication ✓
- Sending $x \mod p_i \operatorname{costs} O(\log p_i)$ bits of communication
- How big is p_i (the *i*-th prime)?

Chebyshev's Estimate: Let p_k be the k-th prime. Then $p_k = O(k \cdot \log k)$.

- Therefore, $\log p_i = \log(O(n \cdot \log n)) = \log(o(n^2)) = O(\log n)$
- All that remains is to prove Chebyshev's Estimate... (Next two slides)

Protocol:

- 1. Pick $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n/\delta\}$ u.a.r.
- 2. Send i and $x \mod p_i$
- 3. Check whether $x \equiv y \mod p_i$

Step 1: Legendre's Formula

Legendre's Formula: For any $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and any prime p, the exponent of p in the prime factorization of M! is precisely $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |M/p^i|$.

- **Proof:** Among the numbers 1, 2, 3, ..., *M*:
 - $\lfloor M/p \rfloor$ of them are multiples of p
 - $\lfloor M/p^2 \rfloor$ of them are multiples of p^2
 - $\lfloor M/p^3 \rfloor$ of them are multiples of p^3
 - Etc.

Proof of Chebyshev's Estimate

• Let $M = [2k \cdot \log k] \le 0.5 \cdot k^2$ and let $p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_{\ell}^{e_{\ell}} = \binom{2M}{M} = \frac{(2M)!}{(M!)^2}$

Legendre
$$\Rightarrow e_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\left| \frac{2M}{p_j^i} \right| - 2 \left| \frac{M}{p_j^i} \right| \right) \le \log_{p_j}(2M)$$
, so $p_j^{e_j} \le 2M$

- Therefore, $2^M \leq \binom{2M}{M} \leq (2M)^{\ell} = 2^{\ell \cdot \log(2M)}$, i.e., $\ell \geq M / \log(2M) \geq k$
- Therefore, $p_k \le p_\ell \le 2M = O(k \cdot \log k)$



Theorem: For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a randomized communication protocol with cost $O(\log n)$ that computes EQ_n with error probability δ

• Randomized protocols are exponentially better than deterministic protocols!

Which problems

can be solved

through computation?

Randomized Turing machines



Randomized Turing machines



- Let $T: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function (time bound)
- **Definition:** A randomized time-*T* Turing machine is a two-tape Turing machine *M* such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $w \in \{0, 1\}^n$, and every $u \in \{0, 1\}^{T(n)}$, if we initialize *M* with *w* on tape 1 and *u* on tape 2, then it halts within T(n) steps
- Interpretation: w is the input and u is the coin tosses
- (Giving M more than T(n) random bits would be pointless)

Acceptance probability



- Let *M* be a randomized Turing machine and let $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$
- To run M on w, we select $u \in \{0, 1\}^{T(n)}$ uniformly at random and

initialize M with w on tape 1 and u on tape 2

 $\Pr[M \text{ accepts } w] = \frac{|\{u : M \text{ accepts } w \text{ when tape 2 is initialized with } u\}|}{2^{T(n)}}$

Randomized TMs: Deciding a language



- Let *M* be a randomized time-*T* Turing machine for some $T: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$
- Let $Y \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ and let $\delta \in [0,1]$
- We say *M* decides *Y* with error probability δ if for every $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$:
 - If $w \in Y$, then $\Pr[M \text{ accepts } w] \ge 1 \delta$
 - If $w \notin Y$, then $\Pr[M \text{ accepts } w] \leq \delta$

The complexity class BPP



• **Definition:** BPP is the set of languages $Y \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ such that there

exists a randomized polynomial-time Turing machine that decides Y

with error probability 1/3

• "<u>B</u>ounded-error <u>P</u>robabilistic <u>P</u>olynomial-time"

Example: High school algebra

• "Expand and simplify: $(x + 1) \cdot (x - 1)$ "

This type of expression is called an arithmetic formula

• How difficult is this type of exercise?

Arithmetic formulas

- **Definition:** A *k*-variate arithmetic formula is a rooted binary tree
 - Each internal node is labeled with + or \times
 - Each leaf is labeled with 0, 1, -1, or a variable among x_1, \ldots, x_k
- It computes $F: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$
- E.g., $F(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 x_2) \cdot (x_1 + x_2)$



Polynomial identity testing

- **Problem:** Given an arithmetic formula F, determine whether $F \equiv 0$
- As a language: $PIT = \{\langle F \rangle : F \text{ is an arithmetic formula and } F \equiv 0\}$
- High school algorithm: Expand F into monomials, then simplify by



Example: Polynomial identity testing

- Given: $F = (a-1) \cdot (1+b) \cdot (a-c) \cdot (1-d) \cdot (b-e) + (b+1) \cdot (d-1) \cdot (b-e) \cdot (c-a) \cdot (1-a)$
- Expand:

$$F \equiv a^{2}b - a^{2}be - a^{2}db + a^{2}dbe - acb + acbe + acdb - acdbe + a^{2}b^{2} - a^{2}b^{2}e - a^{2}bdb + a^{2}bdbe$$

$$- abc^{2} + abc^{2}e + abcdb - abcdbe - ab + abe + adb - adbe + cb - cbe - cdb + cdbe - b^{2}$$

$$+ b^{2}e + bdb - bdbe + b^{2}c - b^{2}ce - bcd + bcde + b^{2}dc - b^{2}dca - bdec + bdeca - b^{2}c + b^{2}ca$$

$$+ bec - beca + bdc - bdca - dec + deca - bc + bca + ec - eca - ab^{2}dc + ab^{2}dca + abdec$$

$$- abdeca + ab^{2}c - ab^{2}ca - abec + abeca - abdc + abdca + adec - adeca + abc - abca - aec$$

$$+ aeca$$

• Everything cancels out: $F \equiv 0$

Polynomial identity testing

- Expanding F takes $2^{\Omega(n)}$ time in some cases 😵
- E.g., $F = (x + y) \cdot (x + y) \cdot (x + y) \cdots (x + y)$
- Open Question: Is $PIT \in P$?
- Next 10 slides: We will prove PIT \in BPP

Evaluating an arithmetic formula

• Let F be a k-variate arithmetic formula and let $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$

Lemma: Given $\langle F, \vec{x} \rangle$, one can compute $F(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ in polynomial time.



$$x_1 = 2$$

 $x_2 = -4$
 $F(x_1, x_2) = -12$