

Pseudorandom Generators via Polarizing Random Walks (lecture notes)

Course: Analysis of Boolean Functions, Autumn 2025, University of Chicago

Instructor: William Hoza (williamhoza@uchicago.edu)

In these lecture notes, we prove the following.

Theorem 0.1 (Fourier growth bounds imply foolability). *For every $n, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists an explicit PRG $G: \{\pm 1\}^r \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}^n$ with the following properties.*

- Let \mathcal{F} be a class of Boolean functions $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is closed under restrictions. Assume that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $L_{1,k}(f) \leq b^k$. Then G fools \mathcal{F} with error ε .
- The seed length is $r = \tilde{O}(b^2 \cdot \log(n/\varepsilon) \cdot \log(1/\varepsilon))$.

For example, if \mathcal{F} is the class of size- s AC_d^0 circuits, then we can take $b = O(\log s)^{d-1}$, so the seed length is $\text{polylog}(ns/\varepsilon)$.

As another example, recall that we proved that width- w oblivious regular ROBPs satisfy $L_{1,k}(f) \leq w^k$. Unfortunately, the class of width- w oblivious regular ROBPs is not closed under restriction. However, we can consider the subclass of width- w oblivious *permutation* ROBPs. These are width- w oblivious ROBPs in which there are no “collisions,” i.e., edges with the same label pointing to the same vertex. This class is closed under restrictions, so by [Theorem 0.1](#), we can fool it using a seed of length $\tilde{O}(w^2 \cdot \log(n/\varepsilon) \cdot \log(1/\varepsilon))$.

1 Fractional PRGs

The proof of [Theorem 0.1](#) is based on the notion of a *fractional PRG*. A fractional PRG is a function $G: \{\pm 1\}^r \rightarrow [-1, 1]^n$. And what does it mean for a fractional PRG to “fool” a Boolean function? The Fourier expansion is the key to making sense of it.

Definition 1.1 (Multilinear extension). For any $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the *multilinear extension* of f is the function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \prod_{i \in S} x_i.$$

When $x \in [-1, 1]^n$, there is a nice probabilistic interpretation of $f(x)$. We use the following notation.

Definition 1.2 (Product distribution notation). For $x \in [-1, 1]^n$, let Π_x be the unique product distribution over $\{\pm 1\}^n$ with expectation x .

Claim 1.3. *Let $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and extend f to \mathbb{R}^n via the Fourier expansion. Then for every $x \in [-1, 1]^n$, we have $f(x) = \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \Pi_x}[f(y)]$. More generally, if X has a product distribution over $[-1, 1]^n$, then $\mathbb{E}[f(X)] = f(\mathbb{E}[X])$.*

Proof.

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X)] = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i \in S} X_i\right] = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \prod_{i \in S} \mathbb{E}[X_i] = f(\mathbb{E}[X]). \quad \square$$

It follows that if $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$, then its multilinear extension maps $[-1, 1]^n \rightarrow [-1, 1]$. Furthermore, $f(0^n) = \mathbb{E}[f]$.

Definition 1.4 (Fractional PRGs). Let $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and extend f to \mathbb{R}^n via the Fourier expansion. Let X be a random variable taking values in $[-1, 1]^n$. We say that X *fools* f with error δ if $|\mathbb{E}[f(X)] - \mathbb{E}[f]| \leq \delta$. Let $G: \{\pm 1\}^r \rightarrow [-1, 1]^n$. We say that G fools f with error δ if $G(U_r)$ fools f with error δ .

For example, if G always outputs 0^n , then G trivially fools every f with error 0. The first step of proving [Theorem 0.1](#) is to construct a fractional PRG that fools \mathcal{F} and that takes values in $\{\pm p\}^n$ for a not-too-small value p .

Lemma 1.5 (Fractional PRG based on Fourier growth bounds). *For every $n, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exists an explicit fractional PRG $G: \{\pm 1\}^r \rightarrow \{\pm \frac{1}{2b}\}^n$ with the following properties.*

- Let $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $L_{1,k}(f) \leq b^k$. Then G fools f with error δ .
- The seed length of G is $O(\log(1/\delta) + \log \log n)$.
- Each individual bit of $G(U_r)$ is uniformly distributed over $\{\pm \frac{1}{2b}\}$.

Proof. The PRG G samples $X \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ from a k -wise γ -biased distribution and samples $\sigma \in \{\pm 1\}$ uniformly at random, and then it outputs $p\sigma X \in \{\pm p\}^n$, where $k = \lceil \log(2/\delta) \rceil$, $\gamma = \delta/2$, and $p = \frac{1}{2b}$. The seed length is $O(\log(k/\gamma) + \log \log n) = O(\log(1/\delta) + \log \log n)$. Each individual output bit is uniform because of σ . Now let us prove that the generator fools f . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{E}[f(p\sigma X)] - \mathbb{E}[f]| &= \left| \sum_{S \neq \emptyset} \hat{f}(S) \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i \in S} (p\sigma X)_i \right] \right| \leq \sum_{S \neq \emptyset} |\hat{f}(S)| \cdot p^{|S|} \cdot \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i \in S} X_i \right] \right| \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{d=1}^k L_{1,d}(f) \cdot p^d \cdot \gamma \right) + \left(\sum_{d=k+1}^n L_{1,d}(f) \cdot p^d \right) \\
&\leq \gamma \cdot \sum_{d=1}^k (pb)^d + \sum_{d=k+1}^n (pb)^d \\
&\leq \gamma + 2^{-k} \\
&\leq \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

□

2 Polarizing Random Walks

[Theorem 1.5](#) is the only part of the proof of [Theorem 0.1](#) that uses the assumed $L_{1,k}$ bound. The rest of the proof is a generic transformation from fractional PRGs to non-fractional PRGs. Here's how it works. Let X be a distribution over $\{\pm p\}^n$ that δ -fools \mathcal{F} . We construct the following non-fractional PRG:

1. Sample t independent samples from X , say $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(t)}$, for a suitable value $t = O(\frac{\log(n/\varepsilon)}{p^2})$.
2. Let $Y^{(0)} = 0^n$, and for $j > 0$ define

$$Y^{(j)} = Y^{(j-1)} + \Delta_{Y^{(j-1)}} \odot X^{(j)},$$

where $\Delta_y := (1 - |y_1|, 1 - |y_2|, \dots, 1 - |y_n|)$ and \odot is coordinatewise multiplication.

3. Output $\text{sign}(Y^{(t)})$, where $\text{sign}(\cdot)$ is applied coordinatewise.

We will prove that $\text{sign}(Y^{(t)})$ fools \mathcal{F} with error $O(\varepsilon \cdot t)$.

The construction can be interpreted as a *pseudorandom walk* through $[-1, 1]^n$. We start at 0^n . We use $X^{(j)}$ to decide which direction to move in step j , and the magnitude of the step is determined based on the current location.

The first step of the analysis is to prove that a single step doesn't do much harm.

Lemma 2.1 (One step doesn't do much harm). *Let $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume that X fools every restriction of f with error δ . Then for every $y \in [-1, 1]^n$, we have $|f(y) - \mathbb{E}[f(y + \Delta_y \odot X)]| \leq \delta$.*

Proof. Sample $\rho \in \{+1, -1, \star\}^n$ in which the coordinates are independent and

$$\rho_i = \begin{cases} \text{sign}(y_i) & \text{with probability } |y_i| \\ \star & \text{with probability } 1 - |y_i|. \end{cases}$$

For each $x \in [-1, 1]^n$, define $\rho \circ x \in [-1, 1]^n$ by the rule

$$(\rho \circ x)_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } \rho_i = \star \\ \rho_i & \text{if } \rho_i \neq \star. \end{cases}$$

Then $\rho \circ x$ is a product distribution, so $\mathbb{E}[f|_\rho(x)] = \mathbb{E}[f(\rho \circ x)] = f(\mathbb{E}[\rho \circ x])$. Now, in each individual coordinate, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[(\rho \circ x)_i] = \text{sign}(y_i) \cdot |y_i| + x_i \cdot (1 - |y_i|) = y_i + x_i \cdot (1 - |y_i|),$$

so $\mathbb{E}[\rho \circ x] = y + \Delta_y \odot x$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[f(y + \Delta_y \odot X)] - f(y)| &= |\mathbb{E}[f(y + \Delta_y \odot X)] - f(y + \Delta_y \odot 0^n)| \\ &= |\mathbb{E}[f|_\rho(X)] - \mathbb{E}[f|_\rho(0^n)]| \\ &\leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

□

The next step of the analysis is to show that the random walk *polarizes*, meaning that $Y^{(t)}$ is close to $\{\pm 1\}^n$ with high probability. We will focus on a single coordinate ($n = 1$) and eventually do a union bound.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume $n = 1$. Then $\Pr[\Delta_{Y^{(t)}} \geq \cdot e^{-tp^2/50}] \leq e^{-tp^2/50}$.*

Proof. Since $n = 1$, X is just the uniform distribution over $\{\pm p\}$. So in step j , independently of whatever happened before, there is a 50% chance that we take a “good” step, meaning $X = p \cdot \text{sign}(Y^{(j-1)})$, and there is a 50% chance that we take a “bad” step, meaning $X = -p \cdot \text{sign}(Y^{(j-1)})$. In a “good” step, the distance $\delta_{Y^{(j-1)}}$ decreases by a factor of $1 - p$. In a “bad” step, the distance might increase, but at most it increases by a factor of $1 + p$. By Hoeffding’s inequality, except with probability $e^{-tp^2/50}$, the number of good steps is at least $(1/2 - p/10) \cdot t$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{Y^{(t)}} &\leq (1 - p)^{(1/2 - p/10) \cdot t} \cdot (1 + p)^{(1/2 + p/10) \cdot t} = (1 - p^2)^{(1/2 - p/10) \cdot t} \cdot (1 + p)^{0.2pt} \\ &\leq (1 - p^2)^{0.4t} \cdot (1 + p)^{0.2pt} \\ &\leq e^{-0.4p^2t} \cdot e^{0.2p^2t} \\ &= e^{-0.2p^2t}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The final step is to argue that outputting $\text{sign}(Y^{(t)})$ instead of $Y^{(t)}$ itself doesn’t do too much harm.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$, and extend f to \mathbb{R}^n via the Fourier expansion. Then for every $y \in [-1, 1]^n$, we have*

$$|f(y) - f(\text{sign}(y))| \leq \|\Delta_y\|_1.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y) - f(\text{sign}(y))| &= |\mathbb{E}[f(\Pi_y)] - f(\text{sign}(y))| \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \Pr_{x \sim \Pi_y}[x \neq \text{sign}(y)] \\ &\leq 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \Pr_{x \sim \Pi_y}[x_i \neq \text{sign}(y_i)] \\ &= 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - |y_i|}{2} \\ &= \|\Delta_y\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof sketch of Theorem 0.1. Let X be the fractional PRG from Theorem 1.5 with error δ . Our PRG outputs the corresponding $\text{sign}(Y^{(t)})$. By the analysis above, the error of this PRG is at most

$$t\delta + 2n \cdot e^{-tp^2/50} + n \cdot e^{-tp^2/5} \leq \varepsilon,$$

provided we choose $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2t}$. The seed length is

$$\begin{aligned} t \cdot O(\log(1/\delta) + \log \log n) &= O(t \log t + t \log(1/\varepsilon) + t \log \log n) = \tilde{O}(p^{-2} \cdot \log(n/\varepsilon) \cdot \log(1/\varepsilon)) \\ &= \tilde{O}(b^2 \cdot \log(n/\varepsilon) \cdot \log(1/\varepsilon)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$