$\mathsf{MAJ} \circ \mathsf{AC}^0$ circuits (lecture notes)

Course: Circuit Complexity, Autumn 2024, University of Chicago Instructor: William Hoza (williamhoza@uchicago.edu)

1 Weak polynomial representations

In these notes, we will prove that the parity function cannot be computed, or even approximated, by a small circuit of the form $MAJ \circ AC^0$, i.e., a constant-depth circuit with a single majority gate at the top and AND and OR gates elsewhere. The proof builds on the Razborov-Smolensky method: first we will show that $MAJ \circ AC^0$ circuits can be simulated by a certain type of low-degree polynomials, and then we will show that the parity function cannot. For this proof, instead of probabilistic polynomials, we will work with so-called weak polynomial representations.

Definition 1 (Weak polynomial representation). A weak polynomial representation of a function $f: \{0,1\}^n \to$ $\{0,1\}$ is a nonzero multilinear polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ such that for every $x \in \{0,1\}^n$, either $p(x) = 0$, or else sign $(p(x)) = (-1)^{f(x)}$.

In general, when we say that a polynomial is "nonzero," we mean that it has at least one nonzero coefficient. Note that a nonzero polynomial p might satisfy $p(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \{0,1\}^n$! For example, consider $x_1 - x_1^2$. However, such a polynomial could never be multilinear:

Proposition 1 (Nonzero outputs for nonzero polynomials). If $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a nonzero multilinear polynomial, then there exists $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ such that $p(x) \neq 0$.

Proof. Let x be the indicator for the variables appearing in some minimal nonzero monomial of p. \Box

Consequently, if p is a weak polynomial representation of f , then there really is at least one point $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ such that $p(x) \neq 0$ and $sign(p(x)) = (-1)^{f(x)}$.

2 MAJ \circ AC 0 circuits have low-degree weak polynomial representations

Theorem 1 (Weak polynomial representations for MAJ \circ AC⁰). Let $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$. Assume there exists a size-S MAJ \circ AC_d circuit C such that $Pr_x[C(x) = f(x)] \ge 1/2 + \varepsilon$, where $S \ge n$. Then f has a weak polynomial representation of degree at most

$$
n - \Omega(\varepsilon \cdot \sqrt{n}) + (\log S)^{O(d)}.
$$

The first step of the proof is the following lemma.

Lemma 1 (Low-degree polynomial that vanishes on a small set). Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, and let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|E| \leq 2^n \cdot (1/2 - \varepsilon)$. There is a nonzero multilinear polynomial $r \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ of degree at most $n/2+1-\Omega(\varepsilon\cdot\sqrt{n})$ that vanishes on E.

Proof. Let $E = \{x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(t)}\}$ and let $D \in \mathbb{N}$ be a parameter that we will choose later. Define a map $\phi \colon \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \dots + \binom{n}{D}} \to \mathbb{R}^t$ by the formula

$$
\phi(r) = (r(x^{(1)}), \dots, r(x^{(t)})),
$$

thinking of r as the list of coefficients of a multilinear polynomial of degree at most D. Then ϕ is a linear transformation. Consequently, provided $\binom{n}{0}$ $\binom{n}{0} + \cdots + \binom{n}{D}$ $\binom{n}{D}$ > t, it has a nontrivial kernel, i.e., there is a nonzero

real multilinear polynomial r of degree at most D that vanishes on every $x^{(i)}$. If $D = \lceil n/2 - \theta \rceil$ for some $\theta > 0$, then

$$
\binom{n}{0} + \dots + \binom{n}{D} \ge 2^n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - O\left(\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right).
$$

1 $\theta = \Theta(\varepsilon \sqrt{n}),$ we get $\binom{n}{0} + \dots + \binom{n}{D} > (1/2 - \varepsilon) \cdot 2^n \ge t.$

If we choose a sufficiently small $\theta = \Theta(\varepsilon \sqrt{n})$, we get $\binom{n}{0}$ $\binom{n}{0} + \cdots + \binom{n}{D}$ $\binom{n}{D}$ > $(1/2 - \varepsilon) \cdot 2^n \geq t$.

Proof of [Theorem 1.](#page-0-0) We may assume without loss of generality that $\varepsilon > 1/\sqrt{n}$, because otherwise the theorem is trivial. Let $C(x) = \text{MAJ}_t(C_1(x), \ldots, C_t(x))$, where C_1, \ldots, C_t are AC_d^0 circuits of size at most S and $t \leq S$ and (without loss of generality) t is odd. For each $i \in [t]$, there is a probabilistic polynomial P_i over R that computes C_i with error $\varepsilon/(2t)$ and degree $(\log S)^{O(d)}$. Consequently, there exist deterministic polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_t \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that

$$
\Pr_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} [\forall i, p_i(x) = C_i(x)] \ge 1 - \varepsilon.
$$

Define

$$
E = \{x \in \{0,1\}^n : \exists i, p_i(x) \neq C_i(x)\} \cup \{x \in \{0,1\}^n : C(x) \neq f(x)\},\
$$

so $|E| \leq 2^n \cdot (1/2 - \varepsilon/2)$. By [Lemma 1,](#page-0-1) there is some nonzero multilinear polynomial $r \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ of degree at most $n/2 + 1 - \Omega(\varepsilon \cdot \sqrt{n})$ that vanishes on E. Now define

$$
p(x) = \underbrace{(t/2 - p_1(x) - \cdots - p_t(x))}_{(*)} \cdot r(x)^2.
$$

Let us show that p is a weak polynomial representation for f .

- We can make p multilinear by replacing each occurrence of x_i^2 with x_i .
- By [Proposition 1,](#page-0-2) there is some point $x \notin E$ such that $r(x) \neq 0$, and consequently $p(x) \neq 0$, hence p is a nonzero polynomial.
- On points $x \in E$, we have $p(x) = r(x) = 0$. Meanwhile, on points $x \notin E$, the expression (*) has the same sign as $(-1)^{f(x)}$ and $r(x)^2 \geq 0$. Thus, on every point $x \in \{0,1\}^n$, either $p(x) = 0$ or else $sign(p(x)) = (-1)^{f(x)}$.

Finally, note that $\deg(p) = n - \Omega(\varepsilon\sqrt{n}) + (\log S)^{O(d)}$.

3 Parity does not have a low-degree weak polynomial representation

Theorem 2. Every weak polynomial representation of PARITY_n has degree at least n.

Proof. Define $\chi: \{0,1\}^n \to \{\pm 1\}$ by $\chi(x) = (-1)^{x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_n}$. On the one hand, if $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ is a polynomial of degree less than n, say $p(x) = \sum_{|S| \le n} c_S \prod_{i \in S} x_i$, then we have

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} [p(x) \cdot \chi(x)] = \sum_{|S| < n} c_S \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \left[\left(\prod_{i \in S} x_i \cdot (-1)^{x_i} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i \notin S} (-1)^{x_i} \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{|S| < n} c_S \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \left[\prod_{i \in S} x_i \cdot (-1)^{x_i} \right] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \left[\prod_{i \notin S} (-1)^{x_i} \right]
$$
\n
$$
= 0.
$$

On the other hand, suppose p is a weak polynomial representation of PARITY_n . By [Proposition 1,](#page-0-2) there is some $x_* \in \{0,1\}^n$ such that $p(x_*) \neq 0$. By the weak representation property, we have $p(x_*) \cdot \chi(x_*) > 0$ and $p(x) \cdot \chi(x) \geq 0$ for all other x. Therefore,

$$
\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} [p(x) \cdot \chi(x)] > 0.
$$

 \Box

 \Box

Corollary 1 (PARITY \notin MAJ ◦ AC⁰). If C is a size-S MAJ ◦ AC $_d^0$ circuit where $S \ge n$, then

$$
\Pr_x[C(x) = \text{PARITY}(x)] \le 1/2 + \frac{(\log S)^{O(d)}}{\sqrt{n}}.
$$

In particular, the success probability is at most 0.8 for a suitable choice $S = 2^{n^{\Theta(1/d)}}$.

This proof that PARITY \notin MAJ \circ AC⁰ is due to Aspnes, Beigel, Furst, and Rudich [\[ABFR94\]](#page-2-0).

4 Application: The correlation between parity and $AC⁰$

By combining [Corollary 1](#page-1-0) with Yao's XOR lemma, we can prove that AC^0 circuits do an extremely poor job of approximating the parity function.

Theorem 3 (Non-optimal bound on the correlation between parity and AC^0). If $C: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ is an AC^0_d circuit, then either C has size $2^{n^{\Omega(1/d)}}$, or else

$$
\Pr_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} [C(x) = \text{PARITY}_n(x)] \le \frac{1}{2} + 2^{-n^{\Omega(1/d)}}.
$$

Proof. Let C be the class of AC_d^0 circuits of size S on n bits, for a suitable value $S = 2^{n^{\Theta(1/d)}}$. By [Corollary 1,](#page-1-0) every $C' \in \mathsf{MAJ}_S \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \mathsf{PROJ}_{\sqrt{n}}$ satisfies

$$
\Pr_{x \in \{0,1\}^{\sqrt{n}}} [C'(x) = \text{PARITY}_{\sqrt{n}}(x)] \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(\log S)^{O(d)}}{n^{1/4}} \le 0.8,
$$

provided we choose a suitable $S = 2^{n^{\Theta(1/d)}}$. Therefore, by Yao's XOR Lemma, every $C \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfies

$$
\Pr_x[C(x) = \mathsf{PARITY}_{\sqrt{n}}^{\oplus \sqrt{n}}(x)] \le \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{S}}\right) + 0.9^{\sqrt{n}}.
$$

 \Box

But PARITY $\sqrt[n]{n}$ is simply PARITY_n, and 1/ $\sqrt{S} = 2^{-n^{\Omega(1/d)}}$, so we are done.

The proof above is due to Klivans [\[Kli01\]](#page-2-1). As we will discuss later in this course, the correlation between parity and AC^0 is actually even smaller, namely $2^{-n/O(\log S)^{d-1}}$. Meanwhile, it is an open problem to prove party and AC is actually even sinalier, namely 2 is the strong intermediately in the straight problem to prove
that some function $h \in \text{NP}$ has correlation less than $1/\sqrt{n}$ with $AC^0[\oplus]$. The function $h = \text{MAJ}_{\sqrt{n}}^{\oplus$ like a good candidate.

References

- [ABFR94] J. Aspnes, R. Beigel, M. Furst, and S. Rudich. "The expressive power of voting polynomials". In: Combinatorica 14.2 (1994), pp. 135–148. ISSN: 0209-9683. DOI: [10.1007/BF01215346](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01215346).
- [Kli01] Adam R. Klivans. "On the derandomization of constant depth circuits". In: Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Randomization and Approximation Techniques in Computer Science (RANDOM). 2001, pp. 249-260. doi: [10.1007/3-540-44666-4_28](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-44666-4_28).